



Michael J. Yox
Regulatory Affairs Director
Vogtle 3 & 4

7825 River Road
Waynesboro, GA 30830
706-848-6459 tel

Docket No.: 52-025

ND-21-1025
10 CFR 52.99(c)(1)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Southern Nuclear Operating Company
Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Unit 3 and Unit 4
ITAAC Closure Notification on Completion of ITAAC
Item 2.5.05.02.i [Index Number 565]

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10 CFR 52.99(c)(1), the purpose of this letter is to notify the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) of the completion of Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Unit 3 Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria (ITAAC) Item 2.5.05.02.i [Index Number 565]. This ITAAC confirms that the Incore Instrumentation System (IIS) equipment identified in the VEGP Unit 3 Combined License (COL) Appendix C, Table 2.5.5-1 can withstand seismic design basis dynamic loads without loss of safety function. This ITAAC confirms that the Class IE equipment identified in the VEGP Unit 3 COL Appendix C, Table 2.5.5-1 as being qualified for a harsh environment can withstand the environmental conditions that would exist before, during, and following a design basis accident without loss of safety function, for the time required to perform the safety function. The closure process for this ITAAC is based on the guidance described in Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 08-01, "Industry Guideline for the ITAAC Closure Process Under 10 CFR Part 52," which was endorsed by the NRC in Regulatory Guide 1.215.

This letter contains no new NRC regulatory commitments. Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) requests NRC staff confirmation of this determination and publication of the required notice in the Federal Register per 10 CFR 52.99.

If there are any questions, please contact Kelli Roberts at 706-848-6991.

Respectfully submitted,


Michael J. Yox
Regulatory Affairs Director Vogtle 3 & 4

Enclosure: Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Unit 3
Completion of ITAAC 2.5.05.02.i [Index Number 565]

MJY/BSZ/sfr

To:

Southern Nuclear Operating Company/ Georgia Power Company

Mr. Peter P. Sena III

Mr. D. L. McKinney

Mr. H. Nieh

Mr. G. Chick

Mr. S. Stimac

Mr. P. Martino

Mr. J.B. Williams

Mr. M. J. Yox

Mr. A. S. Parton

Ms. K. A. Roberts

Ms. J.M. Coleman

Mr. C. T. Defnall

Mr. C. E. Morrow

Mr. K. J. Drudy

Mr. J. M. Fisher

Mr. R. L. Beilke

Mr. S. Leighty

Ms. A. C. Chamberlain

Mr. J. C. Haswell

Document Services RTYPE: VND.LI.L06

File AR.01.02.06

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Ms. M. Bailey

Mr. M. King

Mr. G. Bowman

Ms. A. Veil

Mr. C. P. Patel

Mr. G. J. Khouri

Mr. C. J. Even

Mr. B. J. Kemker

Ms. N. C. Coover

Mr. C. Welch

Mr. J. Gaslevic

Mr. O. Lopez-Santiago

Mr. G. Armstrong

Mr. M. Webb

Mr. T. Fredette

Mr. C. Santos

Mr. B. Davis

Mr. J. Vasquez

Mr. J. Eargle

Mr. T. Fanelli

Ms. K. McCurry

Mr. J. Parent

Mr. B. Griman

Mr. V. Hall

Oglethorpe Power Corporation

Mr. R. B. Brinkman
Mr. E. Rasmussen

Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia

Mr. J. E. Fuller
Mr. S. M. Jackson

Dalton Utilities

Mr. T. Bundros

Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC

Dr. L. Oriani
Mr. D. C. Durham
Mr. M. M. Corletti
Mr. Z. S. Harper
Mr. J. L. Coward

Other

Mr. S. W. Kline, *Bechtel Power Corporation*
Ms. L. Matis, *Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.*
Dr. W. R. Jacobs, Jr., Ph.D., *GDS Associates, Inc.*
Mr. S. Roetger, *Georgia Public Service Commission*
Mr. R. L. Trokey, *Georgia Public Service Commission*
Mr. K. C. Greene, *Troutman Sanders*
Mr. S. Blanton, *Balch Bingham*

**Southern Nuclear Operating Company
ND-21-1025
Enclosure**

**Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Unit 3
Completion of ITAAC 2.5.05.02.i [Index Number 565]**

ITAAC Statement

Design Commitment

2. The seismic Category I equipment identified in Table 2.5.5-1 can withstand seismic design basis dynamic loads without loss of safety function.

3.a) The Class 1E equipment identified in Table 2.5.5-1 as being qualified for a harsh environment can withstand the environmental conditions that would exist before, during, and following a design basis accident without loss of safety function, for the time required to perform the safety function.

Inspections, Tests, Analyses

i) Not used per Amendment No. 188

ii) Type tests, analyses, or a combination of type tests and analyses of seismic Category I equipment will be performed.

iii) Inspection will be performed for the existence of a report verifying that the equipment including anchorage is seismically bounded by the tested or analyzed conditions.

i) Type tests, analysis, or a combination of type tests and analysis will be performed on Class 1E equipment located in a harsh environment.

ii) Inspection will be performed of the Class 1E equipment and the associated wiring, cables, and terminations located in a harsh environment.

Acceptance Criteria

i) Not used per Amendment No. 188

ii) A report exists and concludes that the seismic Category I equipment can withstand seismic design basis dynamic loads without loss of safety function.

iii) A report exists and concludes that the equipment including anchorage is seismically bounded by the tested or analyzed conditions.

i) A report exists and concludes that the Class 1E equipment identified in Table 2.5.5-1 as being qualified for a harsh environment. This equipment can withstand the environmental conditions that would exist before, during, and following a design basis accident without loss of safety function for the time required to perform the safety function.

ii) A report exists and concludes that the Class 1E equipment and the associated wiring, cables, and terminations identified in Table 2.5.5-1 as being qualified for a harsh environment are bounded by type tests, analyses, or a combination of type tests and analyses.

ITAAC Determination Basis

This ITAAC requires that inspections, tests, and analyses be performed and documented to ensure the In-core Instrumentation System (IIS) equipment identified as seismic Category I or Class 1E in the Combined License (COL) Appendix C, Table 2.5.5-1 (the Table) is designed and constructed in accordance with applicable requirements.

ii) A report exists and concludes that the seismic Category I equipment can withstand seismic design basis dynamic loads without loss of safety function.

Seismic Category I equipment in the Table requires type tests and/or analyses to demonstrate structural integrity and operability. Structural integrity of the passive seismic Category I mechanical equipment is demonstrated by analysis in accordance with American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code Section III (Reference 2).

Safety-related (Class 1E) electrical equipment in the Table is seismically qualified by type testing combined with analysis in accordance with Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Standard 344-1987 (Reference 3). This equipment includes safety-related (Class 1E) field sensors and the safety-related electrical cables and connector assemblies. The specific qualification method (i.e., type testing, analysis, or combination) used for each piece of equipment in the Table is identified in Attachment A. Additional information about the methods used to qualify AP1000 safety-related equipment is provided in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) Appendix 3D (Reference 4). The EQ Reports (Reference 5) identified in Attachment A contain applicable test reports and associated documentation and conclude that the seismic Category I equipment can withstand seismic design basis loads without loss of safety function.

iii) A report exists and concludes that the equipment including anchorage is seismically bounded by the tested or analyzed conditions.

An inspection (Reference 11) was conducted to confirm that the seismic category I equipment identified in Table 2.5.5-1, the Class 1E Incore Thimble Assemblies, were manufactured per the qualified design. The inspection verified the equipment make/model/serial number, as well as the as-designed anchorage point to the integrated grid assembly.

Attachment A identifies the EQRR (Reference 1) that was completed to verify the seismic Category I equipment listed in the Table, including anchorage, is seismically bounded by the tested or analyzed conditions, IEEE Standard 344-1987 (Reference 3), and NRC Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.100 (Reference 6).

i) A report exists and concludes that the Class 1E equipment identified in Table 2.5.5-1 as being qualified for a harsh environment. This equipment can withstand the environmental conditions that would exist before, during, and following a design basis accident without loss of safety function for the time required to perform the safety function.

The harsh environment Class 1E equipment in the Table is qualified by type testing and/or analyses. Class 1E electrical equipment type testing is performed in accordance with IEEE Standard 323-1974 (Reference 7) and RG 1.89 (Reference 8) to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 50.49. Type testing of safety-related equipment meets the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, General Design Criterion 3. Attachment A identifies the EQ program and

specific qualification method for each piece of Class 1E electrical equipment located in a harsh environment. Additional information about the methods used to qualify AP1000 safety-related equipment is provided in the UFSAR Appendix 3D (Reference 4). EQ Reports (Reference 5) identified in Attachment A contain applicable test reports/analysis and associated documentation and conclude that the equipment can withstand the environmental conditions that would exist before, during, and following a design basis accident without loss of safety function for the time required to perform the safety function.

ii) A report exists and concludes that the Class 1E equipment and the associated wiring, cables, and terminations identified in Table 2.5.5-1 as being qualified for a harsh environment are bounded by type tests, analyses, or a combination of type tests and analyses.

The harsh environment Class 1E equipment in the Table is qualified by the type testing and/or analyses identified in the EQ Reports listed in Attachment A. Class 1E electrical equipment type testing is performed in accordance with IEEE Standard 323-1974 (Reference 7) and RG 1.89 (Reference 8) to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 50.49. Type testing of safety-related equipment meets the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, General Design Criterion 4. Attachment A identifies the EQ program and specific qualification method for each piece of Class 1E electrical equipment located in a harsh environment. Additional information about the methods used to qualify AP1000 safety-related equipment is provided in the UFSAR Appendix 3D (Reference 4). EQ Reports (Reference 5) and EQRR Reports (Reference 1) identified in Attachment A contain applicable test reports/analysis and associated documentation and conclude that the equipment and the associated wiring, cables, and terminations can withstand the environmental conditions that would exist before, during, and following a design basis accident without loss of safety function for the time required to perform the safety function.

Inspection is accomplished by verifying a quality assurance data package (Reference 12) exists that concludes that the equipment was constructed as per design.

Together, these reports (References 1, 5, and 12) provide evidence that the ITAAC Acceptance Criteria requirements are met:

- A report exists and concludes that the seismic Category I equipment can withstand seismic design basis dynamic loads without loss of safety function;
- A report exists and concludes that the equipment including anchorage is seismically bounded by the tested or analyzed conditions;
- A report exists and concludes that the Class 1E equipment identified in Table 2.5.5-1 as being qualified for a harsh environment. This equipment can withstand the environmental conditions that would exist before, during, and following a design basis accident without loss of safety function for the time required to perform the safety function;
- A report exists and concludes that the Class 1E equipment and the associated wiring, cables, and terminations identified in Table 2.5.5-1 as being qualified for a harsh environment are bounded by type tests, analyses, or a combination of type tests and analyses.

References 1, 5, and 12 are available for NRC inspection as part of the Unit 3 ITAAC 2.5.05.02.i Completion Package (Reference 9).

ITAAC Finding Review

In accordance with plant procedures for ITAAC completion, Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) performed a review of all ITAAC findings pertaining to the subject ITAAC and associated corrective actions. This finding review, which included now-consolidated ITAAC Indexes 566, 567, 568, and 569, found no relevant ITAAC findings associated with this ITAAC.

The ITAAC completion review is documented in the ITAAC Completion Package for ITAAC 2.5.05.02.i (Reference 9) and is available for NRC review.

ITAAC Completion Statement

Based on the above information, SNC hereby notifies the NRC that ITAAC 2.5.05.02.i was performed for VEGP Unit 3 and that the prescribed acceptance criteria are met.

Systems, structures, and components verified as part of this ITAAC are being maintained in their as-designed, ITAAC compliant condition in accordance with the approved plant programs and procedures.

References (available for NRC inspection)

1. EQ Reconciliation Reports (EQRR) as identified in Attachment A for Unit 3
2. American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code, Section III, "Rules for Construction of Nuclear Power Plant Components," 1998 Edition with 2000 Addenda
3. IEEE Standard 344-1987, "IEEE Recommended Practices for Seismic Qualification of Class 1E Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations"
4. Vogtle 3&4 Updated Final Safety Analysis Report Appendix 3D, "Methodology for Qualifying AP1000 Safety-Related Electrical and Mechanical Equipment"
5. Equipment Qualification (EQ) Reports as identified in Attachment A
6. Regulatory Guide 1.100, Rev. 2, "Seismic Qualification of Electric and Mechanical Equipment for Nuclear Power Plants"
7. IEEE Standard 323-1974, "IEEE Standard for Qualifying Class 1E Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations"
8. Regulatory Guide 1.89, Rev 1, "Environmental Qualification of Certain Electric Equipment Important to Safety for Nuclear Power Plants"
9. 2.5.05.02.i-U3-CP-Rev 0, "Completion Package for Unit 3 ITAAC 2.5.05.02.i [Index Number 565]"
10. DCP_DCP_010657, "Summary of IITA Equipment Qualification"
11. SVP_SVP_018473, "Incore Instrumentation Thimble Assemblies Seismic Qualification Summary"

12. APP-JE90-VQQ-001, Rev. 1 "Quality Release & Certificate of Conformance – JE90"

Attachment A

System: In-core Instrumentation System (IIS)

Equipment Name ⁺	Seismic Cat. I ⁺	Class 1E/ Qual. For Harsh Envir. ⁺	Envir. Zone ¹	Envir Qual Program ²	Type of Qual.	EQ Reports	EQRR
Incore Thimble Assemblies (at least three assemblies in each core quadrant)	Yes	Yes ⁽³⁾ /Yes ⁽³⁾	1	E * S	Type Tests & Analysis	SV3-JS94-VBR-001 / SV3-JS94-VBR-002 (Cable Assemblies) DCP_DCP_010657 (Harsh) SVP_SVP_018473 (Seismic)	2.5.05.02.i-U3-EQRR- PCD001-Rev0

Notes:

+ Excerpt from COL Appendix C Table 2.5.5-1

1. See Table 3D.5-1 of UFSAR

2. E - Electrical Equipment Program

S = Qualified for submergence or operation with spray

* - Harsh Environment

3. Only applies to the safety-related assemblies. There are at least two safety-related assemblies in each core quadrant.